Co-Robotics for Off-road and Construction Equipment

Girish Chowdhary

Asst. Professor Agricultural and Biological Engineering Aerospace Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign



July 05 2016

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()





- Robots that work with humans,
- Complete automation is futile as safety critical decision requires human presence,
- Co-robots can learn from expert Human operators,
- Train/Guide novice operator allowing experts to remain in field.



http://www.hulcher.com/

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



Robot Learning from Demonstration

- Successful examples: Tennis Swings, Walking gaits, pick 'n' place, Complex Helicopter maneuvers
- Generic approaches: RL, IRL, DMP, Options framework
- Recently: BNPs for auto-segmentation of demonstrations



Tennis Swing [6]

Challenges

- Computationally efficient method for high dimensional continuous state-action spaces
- Performing meaningful segmentation of demonstrated tasks, to enable reuse of skills learned
- Intelligent sequencing of motion primitives for novel tasks
- Shared-Control to aid users in executing complex tasks, without hindering takeover



Goal: To develop robust solution framework that enables co-robot to

- Perform segmentation of multi-output, multi-input demonstration data,
- These segmentation should lead to human understandable subgoals,
- Guide a novice operator in efficiently decomposing their task to speed up their learning without hindering take-over

Vector-valued Gaussian Process and Non-Bayesian Clustering (VGP-NBC):

- Define observations & inputs for VGP: Actuator positions were observed, and the end-effector joint position (h, r, θ) and the bucket angle were the inputs.
- Motion primitives such as Boom Raise or Bkt Curl, arise from different VGP models.
 - Non-Bayesian Clustering [4]: Hypothesis test used to determine and cluster different VGP models representing motion primitives.

$$\frac{P(y \mid M_i)}{P(y \mid M_j)} \stackrel{\hat{M}_i}{\underset{\hat{M}_j}{\geq}} \eta$$







Figure: Overview of LfD and Instruction Framework

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Learning from demonstration for off-road equipment





Challenge: Task efficiency and performance depends on operator skill

- Skill at the controls
- Experience in decomposing complex tasks (task understanding)

Hypothesis: Co-robots can learn latent task-decompositions from expert demonstrators and guide novices

D_ASL^ab

Demonstration and Clustering VGP models





Demonstration under motion capture set-up

- Identification of Motion Primitives.
- Human Understandable ?





D_ASL^ab

Instruction Framework

I

For data with different temporal characteristics, algorithm yields similar end-effector poses.



Instruction Framework: Communicate to Novice

End-Effector Method: Association of End-effector state changes with actuator mechanisms.









Task was performed more efficiently in the Guided (G) mode as compared to the Unguided (UG) mode for two cycles of truck loading operation,

- Better completion time,
- Reduced total number of actions taken (A), and minimal Erroneous actions (Er),







(b) Action counts

Assistive Training





Assistive reinforcement: direction of joystick movement Eventually can be replaced with color only D_ASL^ab

Collaborative Task Execution: What Happens in Off-Nominal Situations

- Shared-control: Aid the human without boring them, and let them take over as needed
- Challenge Problem: Zermelo's navigation [2]: Autonomous agent and Human operator collaborate to navigate ship to the Origin,
- Off-nominal situation: Obstacle known to Human, and optimal path known to Autonomous agent
- Smaller zone of return ⇒ greater reaction time available to the operator







Intent $(\dot{\gamma})$ is the rate at which the human operator's input differ from that of the optimal. Mathematically,

$$\dot{\gamma} = rac{d}{dt}(| heta_0 - \tilde{ heta}|), ext{ or } = rac{d}{dt}(|\Delta|)$$
 (1)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where, θ_0 is the operator's input, and $\tilde{\theta}$ is the optimal. Resulting three facets to human intention,

- $\dot{\mathbf{v}} > \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow$ human intends to differ,
- $\dot{\gamma}$ < 0 \Rightarrow human intends to follow,
- $\dot{\gamma} = 0 \Rightarrow$ human is in passive agreement.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Blended Shared Control

Zermelo's Navigation using Blended Shared Control





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Intent Aware Shared Control

Zermelo's Navigation using Intent Aware Shared Control



Intent Aware Shared Control: Experimental Evaluation



э



Figure: Boxplot comparison of completion times, from three different starting locations, for Manual Control (MC), Blended shared control (BSC), and IASC.

Table: Obstacle Collision: Design of IASC controller is responsive to Off-nominal situations.

Location	Obstacle	Manual	BSC	IASC	
(x, y)	(x, y)	Control			
(0, -60)	(-45,8)	1	10	2	
(40, 40)	(25, -8)	0	4	3	
(0, -60)	(-75,3)	0	7	1	
	16	・ ロ ト ・ 理 ト ・ 正 ト ・			



Contributions



- A computationally efficient Vector-valued Gaussian Processes Non-Bayesian Clustering (VGP-NBC) algorithm for real time clustering of vector-valued motion primitives.
- A semantically motivated instructional framework to train or assist novice users of construction co-robots that allows the corobot to transfer learned skills from experts to novice human operators.
- Validation of our approach through experimentation on a construction co-robot (a fully-functional 1:14 scale hydraulic excavator).
- Intent aware shared control design responsive to off-nominal situations, at the same time efficient over existing methods.

Ongoing Work



Non-stationary Markov Models

- Typically the transition model is assumed stationary, i.e. $p(x_t|x_{t-1})$ being time-invariant.
- Limits capability of Markov models to capture time-varying transitions between states.
- Modeling human dance or playing sports, co-robots performing complex tasks, fluctuations in stock index, require time-varying Markov models.

Key Ingredients to Model Non-stationary Markov Models

- Capability to detect the time-point of change in the transition model.
- Distinguish between two different transition models,
- Modeling the switching dynamics between Transition Probability Matrices(TPMs).

Non-parametric modeling to capture potentially infinite TPMs.



- Jilkov et. al. (2004) [5]: Online Bayesian estimation of unknown TPM. Responds slowly to model changes.
- Fox et. al. (2007) [3]: Sticky HDP-HMM, a hierarchic Bayesian non-parametric approach. Learns a single averaged out TPM.
- Bertuccelli and How (2012) [1]: Online estimation of unknown, non-stationary Markov chain transition models with perfect state observation. Does not capture previous transition models.

Deep Markov Model

- A non-parametric prior, that
 - Learns an unknown number of Transition Probability Matrices (TPMs) from the data,
 - Models the switch between TPMs using another hierarchic Markov chain.



Figure: 1-layer deep Hidden Markov Model: Base layer is a HMM with K modes with Gaussian emissions, and a Deep layer that models Markovian dynamics among the set of K_{π} (TPMs).







- Latent mode sequence (black) and true state sequence for a five mode HMM (with 2–d Gaussian emissions)
- Latent mode sequence overlaid with identified TPMs (green). Patterns reflect original TPMs.
- Predictive likelihood over 100 timeseries data generated by randomly switching TPMs,

(III) (III) (III) (III)

Viterbi assignment errors.







◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Pls:

- Girish Chowdhary
- Prabhakar Pagilla
- Christopher Crick

Students:

- Harshal Maske
- Denis Osipychev
- Emily Kieson



References





Luca F Bertuccelli and Jonathan P How.

Estimation of non-stationary markov chain transition models. In Decision and Control, 2008. CDC 2008. 47th IEEE Conference on, pages 55–60. IEEE, 2008.



Aaron Enes and Wayne Book.

Blended shared control of zermelo's navigation problem. In American Control Conference (ACC), 2010, pages 4307–4312. IEEE, 2010.



Emily B Fox, Erik B Sudderth, Michael I Jordan, and Alan S Willsky.

The sticky hdp-hmm: Bayesian nonparametric hidden markov models with persistent states. *Arxiv preprint*, 2007.



Robert Grande, Thomas Walsh, Sarah Fergusson, Girish Chowdhary, and Jonathan How. Online regression for non-stationary data using gaussian processes and reusable models. *Transactions of Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2016. accepted.



V. Jilkov and X. Li.

Online Bayesian estimation of transition probabilities for Markovian jump systems. *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*, 52(6), 2004.



Stefan Schaal.

Dynamic movement primitives-a framework for motor control in humans and humanoid robotics. In Adaptive Motion of Animals and Machines, pages 261–280. Springer, 2006.

